App. F. IX-4

1926.

File No. 46-26

Previous References (Courts)

do 217-25-(1-13)

Serial Nos. 1 – 12

Later References

do 291-27 (1-4)

57.66-38(1pt)

Eb 114-41(1pt)

els 187-42(1-2)

PRESERVED

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. Twelve

Part B for October 1927.

SUBJECT

System of fixed contract contingent grants to the Bench Courts for contingent expenditure.

G.O. No P 2141-80 of 31-10-27

To the Regr Chief Courts
" Comptroller
" Dms of Dists.

Passes orders on the above

Munasamy Gounder
3/12/27

G. R. S. III -1] 1926-27

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Courts

NOTES.

File No. 46-26

FILE HEADING.

Contingent Charges of the Bench Courts

From

The Comptroller

~~ADA~~ No. 279 Dated 10.8.26 Received 12.8.26

Diary No. 741	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

States that the procedure of sanctioning a permanent advance of Rs 3/- to each Bench court and recouping the same on D.C. Bills countersigned by the Dist. laid down in Fo no P 574-54/Cs. 217-25-1331. 7-1926 entails much unnecessary labour in regard to the maintenance of accounts of permanent advance in his office without any corresponding benefit and suggests that a fixed contingent grant may be sanctioned for each court according to its necessity in which case no permanent advance is necessary

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The proposal of the Comptroller may perhaps be accepted as it is simpler. It has got the other advantage viz that the account unspent may be carried on to the next year. The requirements are limited and almost fixed. A lump amount for all the Bench court in a dist may perhaps be kept at the disposal of the D.C. who will sanction each item of expenditure.

NOTES—First Sheet.

WD.1881—GPB—30 000—1-8-21

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12

Serial No.

2) At present a uniform contingent allotment has not been made. Some Courts have been sanctioned a contingent expenditure of Rs 5/- p.m. Eg. Kalasa Bench Court, Nileshwar Bench Court and Mysore City Bench Court. Some others have been sanctioned a sum of Rs 3/- p.m. Eg. Bench Courts in the Taluk Kolar DCs. In some other DCs viz Kodagu, the Bench Courts have been given a contingent allotment of Rs 2/- per Court. The total contingent charges that have been sanctioned comes to Rs 2244/- per annum. (Vide Statement put up Flag V).

3) Opportunity may perhaps be taken to reduce the contingent amounts that have been sanctioned to these Bench Courts and a uniform allotment of Rs 2/- per Court may perhaps be given, exception being made in the case of the Bench Courts in the 2 cities which may be continued to be given the same allotment of Rs 60/- p.annum as is being done now. This amount may be placed at the disposal of the respective D.Cs who may sanction each item of expenditure and vary the expenditure on the Courts as necessities arise within the amount allotted for the Dt. If this view is accepted, the amount that will be required is Rs 1704/- per annum. (for details Vide Statement Flag VI).

4) The Hi: Lists may be revised cancelled

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

17/8/26

Serial No.

2.

5) It will be seen from page 5 of the office notes in C5 file 46-26-1 portup that the proposal to sanction a permanent advance of Rs. 3/- each Bench Court had the concurrence of the Fin' Secy.

Apr 12/28

6) It was the Chief Court which suggested a permanent advance, to avoid certain inconveniences. The Financial Secy was for a sub-imprest of Rs 25/- from the imprest of the Dist Magistrate. There were the only two proposals & after considering the advantages & disadvantages of both the proposals, the former was adopted by Govt & orders issued. The Comptroller now proposes a third alternative.

The advantage is that it will dispense with maintaining of accounts & the amount may even be reduced in most cases. There is however, the same objection that the whole amount will be or maybe spent without the magistrates having to account for it. In the present method, there is at least the safeguard of a detailed Contingency Bill being sent up. The magistrate will have to sign a false bill, ~~to~~ if the amount is to be misappropriated that is itself a check.

1) Submit orders whether the orders passed recently may be superseded & the proposal of the Comptroller adopted. The Fin' Secy may be consulted & if necessary the Dist magistrates may be asked to state

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,000 17-12-25
[App. F. III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 46 of 1926.

Serial No.

the minimum contingent grant that may be issue to each Bench Court in their Districts. Till replies are received the present system will be in force.

2139/21.8. A/

Dy Secy 1st Asst

Cay 18/8 Dy 19.8 Cay 20/8

8) Mr Secy. Dy the matter

Lee 23/8

Jr 615/26

Jr Secy with Comp. 15

Dy 24/8 Cay 24.8

9) A. Of course.

10) The Comptroller's proposal is aimed at saving of ~~unnecessary~~ simplifying work. The amount involved is small. The District Magistrates may be consulted as regards the fixing of the contract grant. Some items of contingent expenditure have necessarily to be incurred, and there is not much scope for misappropriation. An accurate contingent expenditure even under the contract grant system has to be maintained in each Court. This may be inspected by inspecting officers.

11) Unless the proposal results in leniency of expenditure & reduction of grant, there is apparently no reason for the change in the system

Also

L 26/8/26

Dy Secy 1st Asst

Cay 27/8 Dy 28/8

2423/28/8

Serial No

(12) The Dist Magistrates may be asked to scrutinise the actual needs of each Court as per Expenditure of the past 2 or 3 years; & report ~~the figures for each Court~~ whether the fixed Contract Grant System (under wh. no vouchers or accounts are seen by Compt. but Expr is checked only by Inspg Offrs) advocated by Compt (copy Dte secy) would result in savings, & wd Magistrates be consulted for ~~in~~ the amounts in different grades according to the volume of work & report pending Expr for each Dist.

Dte 31/8

8/9 31/8 cus 31/8

1/9

(13) Sent with draft. (1+1 secy)

2 7/9/26 7/9

(14) Draft submitted for approval cus 7.9

15/9

(15) While this Secty is expected to be most precise, it seems the making mistakes often. Please read 12 again carefully & advise

Dte 11/9.

(16) Blenkinsopp cus 18/9

2815 8/9

14/9

NOTE— Contn. Sheet

Serial No.

17) Send with corrected drafts as directed
14/9/26 18) Submitted to the Member. The draft approved by the Member may issue.
2. To the Dt Collector of Dts.
No. P. 1462-9 D 24-9-26.
Request to kindly fix the amounts in different grades of Courts according to the Volume of work and report the resulting expenditure to this Dt.
Sent 27/9
3 To The Registrar Chief Court
No. P. 1470 - D 24-9-26.
Sd a copy of Memo and Request that reports from the Dts may be forwarded with the opinion of the Chief Court on the proposals of the Comptroller.

From
The Comptroller. Letter nos. 910 dated 30/9/26
Requests early orders on his question to grant to each Bench Court a fixed Contract Contingent grant
19) Send with an ad interim reply.
5/10/26 20) May be approved
5/10 Sd/- [illegible]
5/10
21) Approved 8/10
To the Comptroller
Comptt No. P 2 D 13-10-26. 22) Issued 9.10.
States that the orders of Govt will be Communicated in due Course
Sent

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 1661 2364 / 24-814 / 46 D of 1926.

Serial No.

23) Submitted with a draft reminder to the Registrar Chief Court.
29/10

24) We may perhaps wait for a fortnight more before sending a Reminder

25) VS. cas 29.10

26) Submitted. May the reminder issue now?
29/10 20/11 VS.

27) Issue cas 20/11
Issued 2190 & 22/11

Issue

Registr Chief Court letter no Roc 3278 & 25-4-26 states that the opinions of the Dists Shimoga and Mysore have not been received and a reply hereafter will be sent as soon as they are received.

28) Submitted with a draft to the Dists of Mysore & Shimoga —
30/11/26 30/11

29) Issue cas
Issued 2342-3 & 4-12-26.

Letter no J. 1.158/26-27 & 8.12.26 from the Dy Comr Shimoga &c stating that a reply has been sent to the Chief Court on 27-11-26 & forwarding a Copy of the same.

30) Said. Reply from the Chief Court will, if agreed, be awaited. 31) VS
10/12 for sometime cas 13/1
VS. 2-2 to be put up on 29/12/26.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No.	
	From Dte de Maste, Mysore.
	No GL 400-2-6 dt 10/14-12-26
	Forwards a copy of his letter to the Regtr Chief Court furnishing the information regarding the grant of Contract Contingencies to Bench Court.
32	All the masters have sent in their replies to the Chief Court & appd a Consolidated reply from the Chief Court will be awaited for some time, I say, a fortnight. Rikd. 16/12
33	Yes. Ans. 17-1-
	From
	Meccanophiles. Letter no 3 DDA 1046) dt 12/26 Requests early order on the suggestion re the grant of a fixed contract contingent grant for each Bench Court.
34	Reply from the Regr Chief Court has not yet been received. Submitted with a Regr. Issue 28/1/27
35	Issued 2953 dt 3-1-27 Ans 23/1
	From
4	The Regr Chief Court
	Letter no 9, dis 118 dt 4-1-27
	Forwards the reports received into Dts and states that the introduction of the system of

NOTE. Contn. Sheet

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26
App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

C/- File No 46 of 1926-27

Serial No.

fixed contract contingent charges in cases of Bench Courts will not only result in savings but also reduce clerical labour and that it may be given a trial.

36) Send with a draft sanctioning the recommendation while they await for orders.

6/7.1.27 37) The DH, Mysore, wants Rs 30/- extra for book reference, clocks &c the expenditure on which accordingly can only be met from contract contingencies. The DH of Kolar & other DCs have not asked for similar additional sums. The said sum is also mentioned in the draft subject to approval.

7/1 38) The Secty has to be consulted; Please see para 10 supra

39) Please enquire — Dists have not proposed any reduction. It is possible to reduce when other Dists can. Why not simply sanction the Scheme & call for the amounts to be fixed again, after asking Dists Magts to take into consideration the actual expenditure for the time the courts are in existence?

Cag 8/1

40) Please put up draft — Sanctioning the Scheme & requesting the Dists Magistrates (by separate letters if necessary to see particularly the four Dists which have not shown any reduction) to see if the rates proposed — the other Dists may not be adopted & some savings effected. There will always be some variation — the rate

NOTE Contn. Sheet

Serial No.

in that case. Cag 3/1

41) Send with 2 drafts - (a) to Regr (Chief Court) 5/1/27

42) The opinions of the 1st magistrate have been obtained by the Chief Court and submitted to Govt with the opinion of the Chief Court. 2/2/27 3/2/27

43) It will be seen from the statement appended to the letter of the Chief Court that in four districts savings are shown, while in 4 others no savings are shown. The net savings are shown as Rs 246/-

44) The Dc. Mysore has included Rs 30/- for books. It is for consideration whether the amount required for books is to be included in the amount given for contingencies under the Contract system. If this amount is not so included the net savings will be Rs 246/- - Other 8 magistrates have not asked for similar grants.

45) The Advocate General may be consulted - Please see para 6 supra re his opinion.

8660/15/2

8.- FEB. 1927

H 2449-26.

3306/27

FINANCIAL SECRETARY

14 FEB 1927

(a) Reg) 46 Draft order (2nd member The Advocate General) may be approved 8/2/27 5/2

Note- Contn. Sheet

47 12/2 1 (Rupees) 14/2 28/2/27

WD 61226—GPB—37,500—1-9-26

App. F. III—2].

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No 46 of 192 6.27

Serial No.

US There is another draft in the file making an enquiry as the rates to be adopted. Not is appd, it may also be issued along with the G/O

T
50.4/S

18/2

H 27-21-26 dy 23.2.27

5 Draft and Fair Communicating the G/O to the Comptroller are put up. Fd: Secy has approved of the G/O & therefore it may issue

23/2/27

28/2

No Fd 6786 dy 24.2.27

To The Comptroller & Comr

To The Regr Chief Court
The Comptr Trans Jd Sall
The DMs of Dts

Roop 4267-76 dy 23-2-27

Directs that the System of contract Contingent grants to Bench Courts maybe given a trial tentatively given effect to from the next official year, the Chairman of the Bench Court being authorised to draw the fixed grant & held responsible for the amount drawn during his Chairmanship

D/To

NOTE- Contn. Sheet

WD 01226—GPB—37,500—1-9-26
App. F. III—2]

Serial No. 6

To The Registrar Chief Court

DOP 4278 D 23-2-27

Request to take either of the rates proposed by the Dist Supdt or Tumkur as the basis and ascertain from the other Dist magistrates whether such rates cannot be adopted by them also thereby effecting some savings and securing uniformity of grants in all the Dists.

Dist A 113

From

The Regr Chief Court.

Letter no Rec 989 D 23-6-27

Forwards copies of letters received from the Dists of Kolar Mysore and Tumkur &c and recommends that the scale of fixed contingent grant may be fixed as proposed by them and adds that it will be necessary to allot a lumpsum for all the Dists for the purchase of books clocks furniture etc.

5/- The scale recommended by the Dists is not uniform. Each Dist recommends a separate grant for each court. The Chief Court recommend that the rates proposed by the Dists may be fixed. They also recommend that a lumpsum amount may be placed at the disposal of each Dist magistrate for purchase of furniture clock books etc. besides the

NOTE- Contn. Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 60 of 192

Serial No.

amount now proposed to be fixed for contingent expenditure for each court. The original proposals of the Dists. of Mysore and Tumkur, which were sent to the Mysr. Chief court for fixing a standard of expenditure as proposed by them for the other Dts, have not been received from the Chief Court. If the proposals of the Dists. are to be sanctioned as recommended, the proposals of the Dists of Mysore and Tumkur are necessary. Std. note a draft calling for the same.

8/ 53 fixed

8/7 11/7 12/7

8 To The Registrar Chief Court.

R.O.P. 353. 8/13.7.27.

Request to return the reports & the Dt. magistrates forwarded with this office letter 8/23.2.27

From

Mysr Chief court. Letter no. Accts 89/15/27

Forwards the reports of the Dt magistrates as called for.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 2293—GPB—10,000—4-5-2'

General and Revenue Secretariat. CS File No. 46 of 1927

Serial No.

Subd. 54

These papers relate to the fixing of a uniform contract contingent grant for the Bench courts in the State. The Repr, Bhy who was requested to consult the Dms as to whether the rates proposed by the Dms of Mysore or Travancore can not be adopted in respect of the Dts has now forwarded the reports of the Dms. Each Dm proposes a rate for each Bench court and the rates proposed are not uniform ~~for~~ in all the Dts. The Repr, Bhy Court recommends that the rates suggested by the various Dms may be adopted in the respective Dts together with a lump sum provision for each Dt for the purchase of books and furniture for the Bench courts. If the different rates are adopted, the saving of clerical labour as contemplated in the fn. of 23.2.27 will not be much, as the expenditure will have to be checked according to the grant for each court.

The statement shows the proposed grants District wise and ~~orders~~ it is for orders whether they may be adopted. The Hd Accts may perhaps be consulted

55 Why is not any amount shown for Kankanady? Please enquire Dy.

Contn. sheet.

WD 0324 -GPB-60,000-24-5-27

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 46 of 1926-27

Serial No.

56

Send with a draft

To Re Dm Bangalore

Nop 802 d) 3.8.27

Nop Enquires why no amount has been proposed for the Kankanhalli Bench Court.

Fl 469 25.8.27

Dm

Messrs Love Lites No 9461 d) 12.8.27 State that a remittance for Rs proposed with Bench court at Kankanhalli as a contract contingent grant and states that this item was omitted by mistake but the total Rs 2487- mentioned thus

58 Send the total grant is only Rs 2248 and not Rs 2487 as stated. The Statement already put up is corrected and sent. It is a point for orders whether a uniform grant of Rs 30/- may not be sanctioned for each DT for the purchase of books to the Bench courts.

59 The Statement (Fl: Y) gives the recommendations of the D.M's.

60 The Fund Secy may be asked to send the full Statement

61 Yes

Please bring up. This letter to show the number of Bench courts & the rate for each in each DT —

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 0324 -GPB-60,000-24-5-27

C 3497 882 46

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. Ch File No. 46 of 1926.

Serial No.	
	62 There will not be any additional Clerical Labour as suggested in the 2nd Note above if the scale of pay of Contract Contingent grade as proposed by the D.A.'s and as recommended by the Chief Court ~~The savings as worked out~~ is adopted. Only the rate will not be uniform.
	63 We need not aim at securing uniformity - Conditions are not the same in all places. PWR 2.9.27
	64 The proposals of the D.A.'s supported by the Chief Court may be accepted. S. 2.9.27 PWR
	65 Put up a draft Leg d-D) 469
	66 Send with a draft
	67 Please type the draft
	Submitted with a typed draft.

469

3125 4028 1.9.27

469

57

9/9 9/9

30/9

NOTE—Contn. sheet

5/10 14/10/27

WD 0324—GPB—60,000—24-5-27

882

17

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 46 of 1926.

Serial No.

3135/18/10

By draft &c. in accordance with Fin. Secy's opinion is submitted for approval & the orders

Secy (L-D) 1st member. To draft may be appd 8 17/10 8 18/10

Lee 19/10 8 19.10

Jme 28 19/10

H. Com 596

The Fin. is as per Fin. Secy's opinion. Submitted with draft and fair

8 1-11-27

Communicated 8 2-11-27

12 To

The Regr Chief Court
The Comptr thro' Fd Secy
The Dy Masters of Mts

No P 2171-80 8 31-10-27

Sanctions the recommendation of the Chief Court in fixing scale of Contract Contingent Grant for Contingent expenditure for each B. Court.

Sd/- [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0324—GPB—60,000—24-5-27

07815

C S 46-26-1 | 741/P826

WD 0663—GPP—6000—11-9-25

C. O. 42. Gl.

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER TO THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

No. TAD.A. 279.

Bangalore, Dated 10th August 1926.

From

S. Shamanna Esq., B.A.,
~~[struck out]~~,
Offg: Comptroller to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore

GEN: & REV. SECTT. BR. P 11 AUG 1926 G. D. SECTION

To

B. Nagappa Esq., Bar-at-Law.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Local and Legislative Departments,
BANGALORE.

Sir,

I have the honor to invite kind reference to Government Order No. P.544-54/Cts.217-25-13 dated 31st July 1926, sanctioning a permanent advance of rupees three to each Bench Court and stating that it should be recouped by Detailed Contingent Bills countersigned by the District Magistrate.

This procedure, I wish to submit, entails much unnecessary labour in this office ~~and~~ in regard to the maintenance of accounts of Permanent Advance without any corresponding benefit to Government. In almost all such cases, it is known by experience that almost the entire amount is drawn and some plausible items of expenditure-which no one can verify - working up to the limit imposed by Government, furnished.

I would therefore suggest for the consideration of Government that the grant may be treated as a fixed contract contingent grant in which case ~~the~~ no permanent advance would be necessary. If Government consider it fit to do so, the amount may be reduced for the lighter courts and two rates fixed one for the heavy courts and the other for lighter courts.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

S. Shamanna
Offg: Comptroller.

SAM)

2 Drafts Rerswdraft 2

To The District Magistrates
of Districts.

F 1462-9 (1)
CB 46-26-2
9 24/9/26

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith a a copy of letter No.T.A.D.A.-279 dated 10th August 1926 from the Comptroller suggesting for the consideration of Govt. the grant of a fixed contract contingent grant to each Bench Courts instead of a permanent advance sanctioned in G.O. No. P. 544-54/Cts 217-25-13 dated 31-7-26 and to request you to kindly scrutinise the actual needs of each court as from experience of the past 2 or 3 years and report thro' the Chief Court whether the fixed contract grant system, ~~would result~~ advocated by the Comptroller would result in savings and would otherwise be beneficial. If so, I am to request you to kindly fix the amounts in different grades of courts according to the volume of work and reporting the resulting expenditure for your district.

100/

I have etc

For Secy. to Govt.,
Local & Legis Deptts.

~~Memo~~.

~~Copy of the above is forwarded~~

B
14/9/26

RD 9
24/9.

G.R.S. No. VII.—G/30]

Revised Rules 3

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

No. P 1470 (1)
Ch 46-26-3

Bangalore, Dated 24/9/1926

From

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

To

The Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith for the information of the Judges of the Chief Court a copy of ~~Government Proceedings~~ letter No. P 1462-9 dated 24/9/26 addressed to the D.C.s of Dhs re the grant of a final certificate-Conjunct grant to Bench Courts and to request that the reports from the Dhs may kindly be forwarded with the opinion of the Chief Court on the proposals of the Committee.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Secretary to Government,

24/9

G.R.S.M. Sl. 407

35836

L. 2581A/P826

No.J.1.158/26-27 Office of the District Magistrate,

Shimoga District,

Dated, Shimoga the 8th December 1926.

From,

B.T. Kesavaiengar, Esquire, B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Shimoga District.

B. Nagappa, Esquire, Bar-at-law,

Secretary to Government,

Local and Legislative Departments,

B a n g a l o r e.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. P.2342-3/Cts dated 4th instant inviting reference to No.P. 1462-9/cts 46-26-2 dated 24th September 1926 regarding the grant of a fixed contract contingent grant to the Bench Courts, I have the honour to state that a reply was submitted on the 27th November 1926 to your address through the Registrar, Chief Court, Bangalore and copy of the same is herewith sent for favour of your information,

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for District Magistrate,

Shimoga District.

Letter No.J.1.158/26-27 dated 27th November 1926 from the District Magistrate, Shimoga District, to the Secretary to Government L & L Departments, through the Registrar, Chief Court, Bangalore.

With reference to your letter No. p.1462-9 (1)/Cts 46-26-2 dated 24th September 1926 calling for a report through the Registrar, Chief Court, Bangalore, in the matter of the suggestion of the comptroller for doing away with the system of granting separate permanent advances to the Honarary Bench Courts as per. G.O. No.P.544-54/Cts 217-25-13 dated 31st July 1926, I have the honour to state that the allotment sanctioned for the Bench Court at Shimoga is Rs 3/- per month and that sanctioned for each of the other taluk Bench Courts is Rs 2/- per month out of this sum they will have to purchase all the country stationery articles, service postage labels etc., The present allotment does not admit of any further curtailment. None of the Taluk Bench Courts has its own establsihment except the Bench Court at Shimoga. In case the proceedure prescribed in the G.O. referred to above is found to be unsuited by the comptroller the only way to get out the difficulty could be to allow the Bench of Magistrates to draw the fixed grant on the establishment bills, holding responsible the chairman of the Bench Court for the time being for the amount drawn during his chairmanship.

" C O P Y "

For Deputy Commissioner,

Shimoga District.

36478

No. Gl-400-26.

2666
P.

Office of the District Magistrate,
Mysore District,
Dated, Mysore 10th December 1926.

From

A. V. Ramanathan, Esq., B.A.,
District Magistrate,
Mysore District.

To

B. Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-law.,
Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, Local and
Legislative Departments,
Bangalore.

GEN. & REV. SECTION
P
15. DEC. 1926
G. D. SECTION.

Sir,

I have the honour to submit for the information of Government copy of my letter No. Gl. 400-26 dated 10th December 1926 in the matter of Contract Contingencies to Bench Courts.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

District Magistrate,
Mysore District.

NRS

No. Gl. 400-26.

Office of the District Magistrate,
Mysore District,
Dated, Mysore 10th December 1926.

From

A. V. Ramanathan, Esq., B.A.,
District Magistrate,
Mysore District.

To

The Registrar,
Chief Court of Mysore, Bangalore.

Bench Court - Contract Contingent Charges.

Sir,

With reference to Local and Legislative Secretary's letter No. P.1462-9 Cts.46-26-2 dated 24th September 1926, I have the honour to note in the margin the amount of Contract Contingent Charges for the several Bench Courts of the District based on the actual expenditure during the past two years and to state that if contract contingencies are sanctioned an additional sum of Rs 30 is necessary at the disposal of the District Magistrate for supplying books of reference, clocks and such other charges as such expenditure cannot be met from contract Contingencies.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

(Sd) A. V. Ramanathan.

District Magistrate,
Mysore District.

Margin--

Name of Bench Court.	Expenditure under Contingencies. 1924-25.	1925-26.	Contract Contingencies proposed. Rs.
Mysore	36- 0- 0	36- 0- 0	3
Chamarajanagar	21- 5- 0	18- 5- 0	2
Yedatore	2- 1- 0	7-13- 4	1
Heggadadevankote	9- 6- 6	9- 3- 6	1
Gundlupet	23- 0- 0	18- 5- 8	2
T. Narasipur	-	10- 4- 0	1
Nanjangud	24- 6- 6	24- 0- 0	2
Seringapatam	-	37- 0- 4 *	2
Malavalli	6-15- 0	6-15- 6	1
Krishnarajpet	9- 1- 0	5-14- 0	1
Nagamangala	14- 3- 0	17-13- 0	1-8-0
Books supplied to Bench Courts & Clocks.	14- 0- 0	21- 8- 0	

* for 2 years

Copy
for Registrar
Mysore Dt.

3948 IMMEDIATE.

CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE

Telegraphic Address. "JUSTICE"

Bangalore, dated 4th January 1927.

No. D.1.Dis.118/26-27.

Cp 46-26-4.

Enclosures 12

FROM

A. SREENIVASARAGAVACHARI, ESQ., B.,A., B.L.,
Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore

GEN. & REV. SECTT. BR. 5 JAN. 1927 G. D. SECTION.

B. Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-Law.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Local & Legislative Departments,
Bangalore.

SIR,

In continuation of my letter No. ROC. 378 of 26-27 dated the 24th November 1926 and with reference to your letter No. P.1470 Cts. 46-26-3 dated the 24th September 1926, regarding the grant of fixed contract contingent grant to Bench Courts, I am directed to forward herewith, for the information of Government the reports received from the District Magistrates in the matter, in original as noted in the margin, and to state that the Chief Court agrees

(1)	Letter No.	J. 1-941 of 26-27	dated 30-9-1926	from the District Magistrate,	Bangalore.
(2)	" "	J. 1-2238/1926	dated 14-10-1926	from "	Kadur.
(3)	" "	D.2.Dis 109/26-27	dated 9-10-1926	from "	Kolar.
(4)	" "	D.2.Dis 178/26-27	dated 1-10-1926	from "	Tumkur.
(5)	" "	L.Dis J.2-255-26	dated 23-10-26	from "	Hassan.
(6)	" "	359	dated 3-11-1926 ...	from "	Chitaldrug.
(7)	" "	J.1-158/26-27	dated 27-11-1926	from "	Shimoga.
(8)	" "	L.Dis.Gl.400-26	dated 10-12-1926	from "	Mysore.

(with the major city of

the District Magistrates in thinking that the introduction of the system of fixed contract contingent charges in cases of Bench Courts will not only result in savings as detailed in the statement (enclosed) but also reduce clerical labour and that it may be given a trial.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Registrar.

MNA.

Statement showing the allotments now being made for contingencies to Bench Courts, the amounts proposed to be granted in future and the savings expected. -- --

No.	Name of the District.	No. of Bench Courts in the District.	Amount allotted for office *contingencies for 1926-27 (exclusive of house rent).	Recommendation now made by the Dist. Magistrate.	Savings expected.	Remarks.
1	Bangalore	10	Rs. 252/-	Rs. 252/-	Rs. -	*at Rs.3/- for District Head Quarter Bench Court and at Rs.2/- for Moffusil Bench Court per -- month.
2	Tumkur	8	204/-	120/-	84/-	
3.	Kolar	9	216/-	216/-	-	
4.	Mysore	12	300/-	(**) 210+30(books)	60/-	
5.	Hassan.	6	156/-	144/-	12/-	
6.	Shimoga	7	180/-	180/-	-	(**)For 11 courts only excluding Bench Court at Yelandur.
7.	Kadur	7	180/-	120/-	60/-	
8.	Chitaldrug	9	228/-	228/-	-	
	Total ..	68	Rs. 1,716/-	Rs. 1,500/-	Rs.216/-	

Chief Court of Mysore,
Bangalore,
4th January 1927.

M Srinivasaiyar
for Registrar.

G.R..S VI G 34]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 192 .

Date of issue..... 23/2/27

Signed by.....

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Section Cb

File No. 46-26.

Serial No. 5

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read—

G.O. no P 544-54/Cb 217-25-13 & 21-7-1926 sanctioning a permanent advance of Rs 3/- to each Bench court the amount being received as expended by means of detailed contingent bills the Chairman of the Bench court for the time being acknowledging the permanent advance and accounting for the same.

~~Order Thereon—No.~~

2) Letter no T.A.D.A. 279 & 10.8.26 from the Comptroller to Govt. stating that the procedure of giving permanent advance

to each Bench Court entails much unnecessary labour in this office without any corresponding benefit to Govt. and suggesting that the grant may be treated as a fixed contract Contingent Grant. and that if necessary two rates may be fixed one for the heavy Courts and the other for lighter Courts

3. Correspondence ending with letter no: D1/Dis 118/26-27 dt 4-1-27 from the Registrar Chief Court in the matter.

Order P 4267-76

From the reports of the District magistrates received through the Chief Court. it is seen that the majority of the District magistrates are of opinion that the system of fixed contract Contingent Grants to Bench Courts will not only result in savings but also reduce clerical labour. The Chief Court also agree with the opinion of the majority of the District magistrates. Govt are therefore pleased that the system

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 1882—GPB—1,000,00—21-12-28

G. R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

Limited funds given effect to from the next official year

Courts may be given a trial) the Chairman of the Bench Court, ~~per cheque~~ being authorised to draw the fixed grant and ~~holds~~ held. ~~them~~ responsible for the amount drawn during his chairmanship.

2. Separate orders will issue ~~for~~ fixing the grant for each Court- (District) and the District magistrates will distribute the amounts according to the needs of each Bench court in the Dt

L. Secy

To

The Regr. Chief Court under a compliance Envr. Hlfrs to ~~Hlfrs~~ ~~Hlfrs~~ Dts

By

2/2/27

Ack 2/2

GPB—20,000—7-7-14

Proceedings of the Govt. of H...the Maharaja of Mysore.

Government order No.P.644-64/Ots.217-25-18 dated 31-7-1925 s[illegible]
[illegible]ning a permanent advance of Rs.0/- to each Bench Court, the account
[illegible]eloped on expended by means of detailed contingent bills, the Chairman
[illegible] Bench Court for the time being acknowledging the permanent advance
[illegible]ccounting for the same.

2. Letter No.[illegible]A 879 dated 10-8-19[illegible] from the Comptroller to [illegible]
[illegible]t stating that the procedure of giving permanent advance to each [illegible]
Court [illegible] entails much unnecessary labour in his office without any
corresponding benefit to Government and suggesting that the grant may be
treated as a fixed contract contingent grant and that if necessary two --
rates may be fixed one for the heavy courts and the other for lighter courts.

3. Correspondence ending with letter No.H Dis.116/26-27 dated the
4th January 1927 from the Registrar, Chief Court, in the matter.

Order No.P. 4267-76 /Ots. 43-[illegible]-5

Dated Bangalore, the ~~21st~~ 23 February 1927.

From the reports of the District Magistrates received through
Chief Court, it is seen that the majority of the District Magistrates
are of opinion that the system of fixed contract contingent grants to Bench
Courts will not only result in savings but also reduce clerical labour
and Chief Court also agree with the ~~majority~~ opinion of the majority of
the District Magistrates. Government therefore direct that the system of
contract contingent grants to Bench Courts may be tentatively given effect
to from the next official year, the Chairman of the Bench Court being
authorised to draw the fixed grant and holding ~~him~~ responsible for the
amount drawn during his chairmanship.

2. Separate orders will issue fixing the grant for each Court.

By order,
Secretary to Government,
Law Department.

To

The Registrar, Chief Court.
" Comptroller through Financial Secretariat
" District Magistrates of Districts.

APP. F. VI. Gl.]

14

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

Date of issue 23/2/27

Signed by.....

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Section C6

File No. 46-26.

Serial No. 6

No. 4278

To

The Registrar, Belgaum Court

Sir

With reference to your letter no D. Dis 118/26-27 dt 4-1-27 re the grant of fixed contract contingent grant to Bench courts I am directed to observe that the Dvms of Bangalore, Kolar, Shimoga and Chitaldrug have not shown any savings and the rates for the Bench courts in the various districts are also uniform. Govt are of opinion that it is possible to effect some

over

savings in the Districts where no savings have now been shown; The rates proposed by the Dist. magistrate or the Dist. Judge every where advantage be adopted in the other Districts. I am directed to request you to ~~fix any one of the~~ adopt either take either of the ~~rates proposed by the~~ Dist. magistrate or the Dist. Judge, as the basis and ascertain from the other District magistrates whether such rates cannot be adopted by them also thereby effecting some savings and securing uniformity of grants in all the ~~to the~~ ~~Revenue Boards~~

If the reports of the Dists. referred with your letter are herewith returned herewith

I have etc

18/8/27

Dr. Secy.

127
Agl

Telgm:
"JUSTICE"

CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE,
Public Office Buildings, Cubbon Park,

Bangalore 23rd June 1927.

R. O. C. Dis. No. 989 / 26-27

Eight encls.

C 46-26-7

From

A. Sreenivasaragavachari, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.

To

B. NAGAPPA, Esq., Bar-at-law.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Law Department,
BANGALORE.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. P. 4278 cts. 46-26-6 dated the 23rd February 1927, observing that the rates of fixed contract contingent amount for Bench Courts as proposed by the District Magistrates are not uniform and requesting to ascertain whether the rates proposed by the District Magistrate of Mysore or of Tumkur cannot be adopted for the Bench Courts of all other Districts with a view to secure uniformity.

In reply I am to forward herewith copies of the replies received from the District Magistrates other than of Mysore and Tumkur as marginally noted, and to state that the scale of fixed contingent amount may be fixed as proposed by them. I am to add that in addition to the sum allotted for incurring ordinary contingent expenditure, it will be necessary to allot a lumpsum

for all

1. No.J.C.57 of 26-27 dated the 20th May 27 from the Dt.Magistrate, Chitaldrug.
2. No.L.Dis.J.2-640-26 ,, 22-4-27 from the Dt. Magistrate, Hassan.
3. No.J.1-941/26-27 ,, 4/12-4-27 from the Dt. Magistrate, Bangalore.
4. No.J.1-2238/26-27 ,, 25-3-27 from the Dt. Magistrate, Kadur.
5. No.J.1-158/26-27 ,, 19-3-27 from the Dt. Magistrate, Shimoga.
and 6. No.D 2 Dis.No.260/26-27 ,, 18-3-27 from the Dt. Magistrate, Kolar.

for all the Districts, to be placed at the disposal of the District Magistrates, - this will be separate from the fixed contingent grant - for incurring occasional expenditure, such as purchase of books, clocks, furniture etc.,

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

M Vaswaniyar

For Registrar.

KVN/-

16

Letter No. J. C. 57 of 26-27 dated the 20th May 1927 from the District Magistrate, Chitaldrug to the Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore, Bangalore.

****

Adverting to his docket No. R.O.C. 989/26-27 dated 10th March 1927 calling for a report in the matter of the reduction of the grant of the fixed contract contingent grant sanctioned to the Bench Courts in this District as suggested by the Secretary to Government in his letter No. P. 4278/cts. 46-26-6 dated 23rd February 1927 referred to in the above, has the honor to state that the allotment sanctioned to this District is Rs. 228 per annum as noted in the margin. Seeing the volume of work turned out in each of the Bench Courts, the allotment is capable of reduction from Rs. 3 to Rs. 2 in the Chitaldrug Bench Court, the allotment of Rs. 2 per mensem for the Davanagere Court may be continued while the allotment in all other courts be reduced by 50% resulting in an annual saving of Rs. 96 to Government.

Chitaldrug.	--Rs. 36	Davanagere.	- 24
Holalkere	--Rs. 24	Jagalur	- 24
Hosadurga	--Rs. 24	Harihar	- 24
Chellakere	--Rs. 24	Molakalmuru	- 24
Hiriyur.	--Rs. 24		

****

" C O P Y "

For R E G I S T R A R.

KVN/-

Letter No. L. Dis. J. 2-640-26 dated the 22nd April 1927 from the District Magistrate, Hassan, to the Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore, Bangalore.

******

With reference to your letter No. R.O.C 989/26-27 dated the 10th March 1927, I have the honour to state that the rate proposed by the District Magistrate of Mysore may be adopted and an additional sum of Rs. 25/- under the control of the District Magistrate be provided for the purchase of books etc.,

The amount proposed for each of the Bench Courts in this District, on the basis of contingent expenditure actually incurred and the volume of work in each court is as follows:-

Hassan Arasikere Chennarayapatna	...	Rs. 36/- per annum.
Arakalgud	...	Rs. 24/- per annum.
Alur Belur	....	Rs. 18/- per annum.

This arrangement will result in a savings of Rs. 12/- per annum excluding the occasional expenditure that may be incurred out of the additional sum of Rs. 25/- for books etc.

****

" C O P Y "

M Srinivasiengar

KVN/- For R E G I S T R A R.

Letter No. J. 1-941/26-27 dated the 4/12 th April 1927 from the District Magistrate, Bangalore District, Bangalore to the Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore, Bangalore.

****

With reference to your docket No. R.O.C. 989 of 26-27 dated 10th March 1927 regarding fixed contract contingent grant ~~of~~ to Bench Courts in the District , I have the honour to forward herewith a statement showing the actual expenditure incurred by all the Bench Courts during the years 1924-25 and 1925-26. In column 5 of the statement, the amount proposed for contract contingencies is shown. The amount will be utilised not only for purchasing contingencies but also for the purchase of books and repairs to furniture.

2. I am, therefore, of opinion that the amounts now proposed indicate the minimum charges and it is not possible to reduce them.

****

" C O P Y "

M Venkatasangiah

KVN/- For R E G I S T R A R.

30

S T A T E M E N T.

****

Sl No.	Name of Bench Courts.	1924-25.	1925-26.	Contract contingencies proposed
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.
1.	Bench Court, Bangalore City.	Rs. 36-0-0	36-0-0	36/-
2.	- do - Anekal Taluk.	24-0-0	22-0-0	24/-
3.	- do - Hoskote Taluk.	24-0-0	20-4-0	24/-
4.	- do - Devanahalli Taluk	21-0-0	20-0-0	24/-
5.	- do - Dodballapur ,,	24-0-0	24-0-0	24/-
6.	- do - Magadi ,,	17-6-0	24-0-0	24/-
7.	- do - Nelamangala ,,	21-12-0	24-0-0	24/-
8.	- do - Closepet Sub ,,	24-0-0	24-0-0	24/-
9.	- do - Chennapatna ,,	24-0-0	22-0-0	24/-
10.	- do - Kankanhally ,,	----	----	--
	Total... ...	Rs.216-2-0	216-4-0	~~216-0-0~~ 228-0-0

" C O P Y "

M Ramaswamy

KVN/- For R E G I S T R A R.

22.

Letter No. J.1-2238/26-27 dated the 25th March 1927 from the District Magistrate, Kadur District, Chikmagalur, to the Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore, Bangalore.

******

FIXED contingent grant to Bench Courts.

With reference to your letter No. R.O.C. 989/26-27 dated the 10th March 1927, calling for a report in the matter of fixing the contingent grant to each of the Bench Courts in the District, I have the honour to state that I agree with Mr. D. Srinivasa Iyengar, my predecessor, for fixing the grants as noted in the margin.

	Proposed	Savings expected per mensem.
1. Chikmagalur. ..	Rs. 3 ..	Nil.
2. Kadur. ..	2 ..	,,
3. Koppa. ..	1 ..	1
4. Mudigere. ..	1 ..	1
5. N.R. Pura ..	1 ..	1
6. Sringere. ..	1 ..	1
7. Kalasa. ..	1 ..	1
Total	Rs.10/-	Rs. 5/-
	or Rs. 60/- per annum.	

The Savings of Rs.60/- that may be expected by so fixing the grant, will be required for the supply of clocks, reference books, and such other charges that cannot be met out of contract contingencies.

" C O P Y "

M Srinivasaiyengar

For R E G I S T R A R.

KVN/-

Letter No. J. 1-158/26-27 dated the 19th March 1927 from the District Magistrate, Shimoga District, Shimoga to the Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore, Bangalore.

****

With reference to your letter No. R.O.C. 989 of 26-27 dated ~~kk~~ 10th March 1927 forwarding copies of letters received from the Secretary, Law Department and the District Magistrates of Mysore and Tumkur Districts in the matter of fixed contingent grant to the Bench Courts, I have the honour to state that if stationery articles such as white, Badami, Brown Cartridge and blotting papers, pen holders, pencils etc, ~~are~~ are ordered to be supplied to the Bench Courts also according to their needs, the rates proposed by the District Magistrate of Tumkur may conveniently be adopted in this District also. There will be a savings of Rs.132/- per year. In case, it is not possible to supply the stationery articles referred to above the following amount may be allotted to each of the Bench Courts in the District. There will be a net savings of Rs. 108/- per year.

1.	The Bench Court at	Shimoga.	Rs. 2-8-0 per month.	or Rs.30 per year
2.	- do -	Chennagiri	1-8-0	18
3.	- do -	Honnali.	1-0-0	12
4.	- do -	Shikarpur.	1-8-0	18
5.	- do -	Sorab.	1-8-0	18
6.	- do -	Nagar.	1-0-0	12
7.	- do -	Kumsi.	1-0-0	12
		Total Rs.	10-0-0 per month or Rs. 120/- per year.	

" C O P Y *

M Venkatasubbiah

KVN/- For R E G I S T R A R.

Statement showing the proposed fixed contingent amount for the several Bench Courts in the District as per Chief Court Registrar's No. Roc 989/26-27 dated 10th March 1927.

No.	Name of Bench Court.	Sanctioned grant for each Court per annum.	Expenditure during 1924-25.	Expenditure during 1925-26.	Average for 2 years.	Proposed grant. Rate per month.	Proposed grant. Total per annum.	Remarks.
1.	Bench Court, Shimoga	36-0-0	29-3-0	25-11-0	27-8-0	2-8-0	30-0-0	
2.	Bench Court, Chennagiri	24-0-0	24-0-0	24-0-0	24-0-0	1-8-0	18-0-0	
3.	Honnali	24-0-0	12-0-0	10-0-0	11-0-0	1-0-0	12-0-0	
4.	Shikarpur	24-0-0	24-0-0	24-0-0	24-0-0	1-8-0	18-0-0	
5.	Sorab	24-0-0	17-6-0	24-0-0	20-0-0	1-8-0	18-0-0	
6.	Sagar	24-0-0	-	18-0-0	Court abolished.			
7.	Nagar	24-0-0	10-0-0	16-0-0	13-0-0	1-0-0	12-0-0	
8.	Thirthahalli	24-0-0	24-0-0	18-0-0	Court abolished.			Savings Rs. 108 a year.
9.	Kumsi	24-0-0	-	16-0-0	8-0-0	1-0-0	12-0-0	
	Total	228-0-0	140-9-0	175-11-0	-	10-0-0	120-0-0	

"C O P Y"

HN.

For Registrar.

25

Letter No. D 2 Dis. ~~No.~~ 260/26-27 dated the 18th March 1927 from the District Magistrate, Kolar District, Kolar to the Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore, Bangalore.

******

With reference to your letter No. 989/26-27 dated 10-3-1927, regarding the grant of fixed contract contingent amounts to the several Bench Courts in this District, I have the honour to note below the scale which may be fixed to each of the Bench Courts which works up to Rs. 127/- a year as against Rs. 216/- the present sanctioned scale. Out of the savings of Rs. 89/- thus effected, a sum of Rs.30 may be placed at the disposal of the District Magistrate to meet the miscellaneous non-recurring expenditure such as purchase of reference books, office clocks, office box ~~es~~ etc.,

1. Bowringpet Bench Court	Rs.	15 per year.
2. Mulbagal	- do -	- do -
3. Srinivasapur	- do -	- do -
4. Malur.	- do -	- do -
5. Chintamani.	- do -	- do -
6. Sidlaghatta.	- do -	- do -
7. Goribidnur.	- do -	- do -
8. Bagepalli.	- do -	Rs. 12/-
9. Gudibanda.	- do -	Rs, 10/-
		Rs.127/- per year.

" C O P Y *

M Ranganathaiya

KVN/- For R E G I S T R A R.

[APP. F. VI. Gl.]

6

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section C6

File No. 46-26

Serial No 8

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

No. 6353

To

The Regr. Wheat Cert.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

Sir

With reference to your letter no Roc 989 § 23.6.27 re the sale of Pearl Contract contingent grant, I am directed to request you to kindly ~~forward~~ return the reports forwarded with this Office letter no P 42781 C5 46-26-6 § 23/7/27

I am etc.

Date of issue 13/7/27

Signed by M [illegible]

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

8/7/27

11/7

12/7

Dy Secy

over

Telgm:
"JUSTICE"

CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE,
Public Office Buildings, Cubbon Park,

Bangalore.....15th July.....***192*** 7 .

R. O. C. No. 989 / 26-27

CB 46-26-9

From

A. Sreenivasaragavachari, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.

To

B. NAGAPPA, Esq., Bar-at-law.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Law Department,
B A N G A L O R E.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. P. 353/Cts. 46-26-8 dated the 13th September 1927 requesting the return of the reports of the District Magistrates re. the scale of fixed contingent grant to the Bench Courts, and in reply to enclose herein the said reports as marginally noted.

1. Letter No.J.1-941 of 26-27 dated 30-9-26 from the District Magistrate, Bangalore.
2. ,, No.J.1-2238/1926 dated 14-10-26 from the District Magistrate, Kadur.
3. ,, No.D & Dis.109 of 26-27 dated 9-10-26 from the District Magistrate, Kolar.
4. ,, No.D & Dis.178 of 26-27 dated 1-10-26 from the District Magistrate, Tumkur.
5. ,, No. L.Dis.J.2-255-26dated 23-10-26 from the District Magistrate, Hassan.
6. ,, No.359 dated 3-11-26 from the District Magistrate, Chitaldrug.
7. ,, No.J.1-158/26-27 dated 27-11-26 from the District Magistrate, Shimoga.
8. ,, No. L.Dis.Gl. 400-26 dated 10-12-26 from the District Magistrate, Mysore.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

For REGISTRAR.

KVN/-

19-10-27 C.No. 378

190 26

From

The District Magistrate,

Bangalore District.

To The Registrar of the

Chief Court of Mysore,

Bangalore.

Dated 30th September 1926

Despatched 1. 10. 1926.

Received

General No. J-1-941/26-27.

No.

Enclosure No.

CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE. 2 - OCT. 1926

Sir,

With reference to letter No.P.1462-9/Cts.46-26-2 dated 24th September 26 from the Secretary, Local and Legislative Departments re the grant of a fixed contrac contingent grant to each Bench court instead of a

permanent advance, I have the honor to state that I am in favour of introducing the contract contingent charge system to all Bench Courts.

2. As regards the amounts I think that Rs.2/- per month may be fixed for the nine taluk Bench courts and Rs.3/- per month for each Bench Court located in the Bangalore City.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

District Magistrate
Bangalore District.

19-6-27 Cr. 378

WD 2332—GPB—450—5-8-22

D. M. 3] No J1-2238-1926 30

Office of the District Magistrate,
Kadur District.

Chikmagalur, dated 14th October 1926

From

D. Srinivasa Iyengar Esq., B. A.,
DISTRICT MAGISTRATE
KADUR DISTRICT.

To

B. Nagappa Esq., Bar-at-law.
SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF
HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
Local and Legis: Deptts. BANGALORE.

Through.

SIR,

The Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

Fixed contract contingent grants to Bench Courts.

With reference to your letter No. P. 1262-9/cts 46-26-2 dated the 24th September 1926, calling for my opinion in the matter of granting a fixed sum to each Bench Court in the State as contract contingent charges, I have the honour to state that the fixed contract system recommended by the Comptroller, though not likely to result in any appreciable savings, is sure to minimise much unnecessary labour involved in the preparation, counter signature, and audit of abstract and detailed contingent bills, and save much time and correspondence as well.

2. I am therefore of opinion that the suggestion of the comptroller may be adopted with advantage, the grant being fixed at Rs 3/- per mensem in the case of courts having heavy work, and Rs 2/- in the case of courts with light work and at Re 1/- in the case of courts with very light work.

3. The court at Chikmagalur which has II class powers and where the work is heavier than in other courts, may be granted Rs 3/- per mensem, as heretofore, and of the remaining six courts (noted in the margin) the one

P.T.O.

WD 0463—GPB—3,00,000—21-7-17—S.

191

From

The District Magistrate,
Kadur District.

To

The Secretary to
the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Dated .

Despatched

Received

General No.
No.

Enclosure No.

SUBJECT.

2

at Kadur may be granted Rs 2/- and the rest which have very light work

---

Re 1/- per mensem.

1. Kadur
2. Koppa
3. Mudigere
4. Narasimharajpur
5. Sringeri
6. Kalasa.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for District Magistrate
Kadur District.

D.V.R.

Cir. 378

32

In all future correspondence please quote this number.
Encs. 22 Dis 109/2687

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT MAGISTRATE,
KOLAR DISTRICT,

Dated Kolar, the 9th October *192*6.

CHIEF COURT
23. OCT. 1926
OF MYSORE.

FROM

S. HIRIANNAIYA, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
District Magistrate, Kolar District.

To

B. Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-Law,
Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Local & Legislative *Departments, Bangalore.*
Thro' The Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No:P.1462-9/Cts.46-26-2 dated 24th September 1926, requesting to report through the Chief Court whether the fixed contract contingent grant system, advocated by the Comptroller, in his No:T.A.D.A.279 dated 10th August 1926, (copy enclosed for ready reference) would result in savings and would otherwise be beneficial, I have the honor to state that at present the Chief Court is alloting a fixed grant of Rs 2/- per month to every Bench Court for contingent charges in this district. This amount is barely sufficient to meet the actual contingent expenditure of each Court. No savings can therefore be effected by cutting down the existing contingent grant. With a view, however, to minimise clerical work, I am in favour of accepting the Comptroller's suggestion and allow each Bench Court a fixed contract contingent grant of rupees two per month.

I have the honor to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

Treasury Assistant Commissione
For District Magistrate,
Kolar District.
(absent from station).

V.V.R.
91026.

Letter No:TADA.279 dated 10-8-1926 from the Comptroller to Govt. of Mysore, to the Secretary, Local & Legislative Departments.

I have the honor to invite kind reference to Government Order No:P.544-54/Cts.217-25-13 dated 31-7-1926 sanctioning a permanent advance of rupees three to each Bench Court and stating that it should be recouped by Detailed contingent bills counter-signed by the District Magistrate.

This procedure, I wish to submit entails much unnecessary labour in this office in regard to the maintenance of accounts of permanent advance without any corresponding benefit to Government. In almost all such cases, it is known by experience that almost the entire amount is drawn and some plausible items of expenditure which no one can verify - working up to the limit imposed by Government, furnished.

I would therefore suggest for the consideration of Government that the grant may be treated as a fixed contract contingent grant in which case no permanent advance would be necessary. If Government consider it fit to do so, the amount may be reduced for the lighter Courts and two rates fixed one for the heavy courts and the other for lighter Courts.

"Copy"

For District Magistrate,
Kolar District.

V.V.R.
91026.

D.M.I.] W2 Nopes No 17 & Encs: 2 26.27

MEMORANDUM 15467 TUMKUR, 84 C.No 378

From The District Magistrate, Tumkur District, Tumkur.

To Dated 1st October 1926.

The Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore, Bangalore.

CHIEF COURT 23 OCT. 1926 OF MYSORE.

Sir,

Adverting to letter No. P.1462-9(1)cts 46-26-2 dated the 24th September 1926 from the Secretary to Government, Local and Legislative Departments, (copy enclosed) calling for certain information on the suggestion of the Comptroller to Government, to the grant of a fixed contract contingent grant to the several Bench Courts instead of a permanent advance sanctioned in Government Order No. P.544-54/cts 217-25-15 dated 31st July 1926, I have the honor to state that the system suggested by the Comptroller is preferable to that of granting a permanent advance to the Bench Courts as the Chairmen of the Courts are not generally familiar with the account rules.

2. The Bench Courts* of this District have now been allowed to incur an expenditure not exceeding Rs.2/- per mensem on account of contingent charges. Almost all articles of stationery are obtained from the Government Stationery Depot and supplied to them. Only country articles such as tablak thread, gum, sealing ink etc are purchased locally. The volume of work in the Bench Court at Tumkur may be considered as heavy and the fixed contingent grant of Rs.2/- per mensem may be continued to this Court. The grant pertaining to the remaining seven moffusil courts may be reduced by 50%. By cutting down the grants as now proposed, an annual

*1) Tumkur (2) Sira 3) Gubbi (4) Chikkanaikanahalli 5) Turuvekere (6) Kunigal 7) Koratagere (8) Pavagada.

38

MEMORANDUM

Reply from	*To* 192

annual [illegible] of [illegible]/- will accrue to Government.

I have [illegible] to be,

Sir,

[illegible] obedient servant,

[illegible signature]

Di[illegible] [illegible],
[illegible] Di[illegible].

[illegible handwritten initials]

Letter No. P.1462-9(1) cts 46-26-2 dated Bangalore 24th September 1926 from the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Local and Legislative Departments, to the District Magistrate, Tumkur District.

I am directed to forward herewith a copy of letter No. T.A.D.A. 279 dated 10th August 1926, from the Comptroller suggesting for the consideration of Government the grant of a fixed contract contingent grant to each Bench Court instead of a permanent advance sanctioned in Government order No.P. 544-54/Cts. 217-25-13 dated 31-7-1926 and to request you to kindly scrutinise the actual needs of each court as from experience of the past 2 or 3 years and report trhough the Chief Court whether the fixed contract grant system, advocated by the Comptroller would result in savings and would otherwise be beneficial. If so, I am to request you to kindly fix the amounts in different grades of Courts according to the volume of work and report the resulting expenditure for your District.

"Copy"

Deputy Commissioner & District Magistra
Tumkur District.

Letter No. TAD.A.279 dated 10th August 1926, from the Comptroller to Government to the Secretary, Local and Legiative Departments.

I have the honor to invite kind reference to Government Order No. P.544-54/Cts.217-25-13 dated 31-7-1926, sanctioning a permanent advance of rupees three to eac h Bench Court and stating that it should be recouped by Detailed Contingent Bills countersigned by the District Magistrate.

This procedure, I wish to submit, entails much unnecessary labour in this office in regard to the maintenance of accounts of Permanent Advance without any corresponding benefit to Government. In almost all such cases, it is known by experience that almost the entire amount is drawn and some plausible items of expenditure which no one can verify - working up to the limit imposed by Government, furnished.

I would therefore suggest for the consideration of Government that the grant may be treated as a fixed contract contingent grant in which case no permanent advance would be necessary. If Government consider it fit to do so, the amount may be reduced for the lighter courts and two rates fixed one for the heavy courts and the other for lighter courts.

"Copy"

For Deputy Commissioner & District Magistrate,
Tumkur District.

1926/7 C No 378 38

No. Gl. 400-26.

Office of the District Magistrate,
Mysore District,
Dated, Mysore 10th December 1926.

From

A. V. Ramanathan, Esq., B.A.,
District Magistrate,
Mysore District.

To

The Registrar,
Chief Court of Mysore, Bangalore.

Bench Court - Contract Contingent Charges.

Sir,

With reference to Local and Legislative Secretary's letter No. P.1462-9 Cts.46-26-2 dated 24th September 1926, I have the honour to note in the margin the amount of Contract Contingent Charges for the several Bench Courts of the District based on the actual expenditure during the past two years and to state that if contract contingencies are sanctioned an additional sum of Rs. 30 is necessary at the disposal of the District Magistrate for supplying books of reference, clocks and such other charges as such expenditure cannot be met from contract Contingencies.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

District Magistrate,
Mysore District.

Margin--

Name of Bench Court.	Expenditure under Contingencies. 1924-25.	1925-26.	Contract Contingencies proposed. Rs.
Mysore	36- 0- 0	36- 0- 0	3
Chamarajanagar	21- 5- 0	18- 5- 0	2
Yedatore	2- 1- 0	7-13- 4	1
Heggadadevankote	9- 6- 6	9- 3- 6	1
Gundlupet	23- 0- 0	18- 5- 8	2
T. Narasipur	-	10- 4- 0	1
Nanjangud	24- 6- 6	24- 0- 0	2
Seringapatam	-	37- 0- 4	2
Malavalli	6-15- 0	6-15- 6	1
Krishnarajpet	9- 1- 0	5-14- 0	1
Nagamangala	14- 3- 0	17-13- 0	1-8-0
Books supplied to Bench Courts & Clocks.	14- 0- 0	21- 8- 0	17-8-0 x 12 = 210-0-0

CHIEF COURT
25 OCT 1926
OF MYSORE

ಫೈಲ್ CN0378

39

Office of the District Magistrate,

Hassan District,

Dated, Mysore, 18th October 1926.

23rd

L. Dis. 92-255-26
23.10.26.

From

R. Nanasami Rao, Esquire,

District Magistrate, Hassan District.

To

B. Nagappa, Esquire, Bar-at-Law,

Secretary to the Government of His

Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Local and

Legislative Departments, Bangalore.

Through the Registrar of Chief Court of Mysore Bangalore

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. P. 1462-9(1)/Cts. 46-26-2 dated the 24th ultimo enquiring if the fixed contract contingent grant to Bench Courts would be beneficial etc., I have the honor to state that the fixed contract grant system will not only result in saving unnecessary labour as advocated by the Comtroller in his office but it will also save labour in District offices.

The allotment now granted to the Bench Court in District Head Quarters is Rs 36/- per mensem and for the 5 moffusil Courts Rs 24/- each per mensem in this District. The Alur and Belur Courts being light Courts the allotment may be reduced by Rs 6/- and fixed at Rs 18/- for each of these courts. The allotment of the remaining 4 (Hassan, Chennarayapatna, Arsikere and Arkalgud) Courts does not admit of curtailment. This arrangement will result in a savings of Rs 12/- per mensem.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

R. Nanasami Rao

District Magistrate,

Hassan District.

Tel: Address:—Dismag,
CHITALDRUG.

In reply, please refer to
No. M2.359
Enclosures.

Cno 328

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT MAGISTRATE,
CHITALDRUG DISTRICT.

Dated Chitaldrug, 3rd November *1926*.



FROM

S. VENKATRANGAM, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
District Magistrate,
Chitaldrug District.

To

B. Nagappa Esquire Bar-at-Law.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Local and Legislative Departments,
B a n g a l o r e.

Through
THE REGISTRAR, CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE, BANGALORE.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No.P.1462-9(1) Cts.46-26-2 dated 24th September 1926 calling for a report through the Chief Court in the matter of the grant of a fixed contract contingent grant to each Bench Court instead of a permanent advance sanctioned in the Government order of 31st July 1926, I have the honor to state that considering the smallness of the amounts drawn for contingent expenditure in the Bench courts, the suggestion of the Comptroller for the grant of a fixed contract contingent grant to them may be adopted. As at present a sum of Rs 3 a month or Rs 36 per annum for the Bench Court at Chitaldrug and a sum of Rs 2 a month or Rs 24 per annum for each of the other Bench courts in the District may be fixed as contract contingent grant resulting in an annual expenditure of Rs 228.

I have the honor to be,
S I R,
Your most obedient servant,

S Venkatrangam
District Magistrate,
Chitaldrug District.

CHIEF COURT
30 NOV. 1926
OF MYSORE

Qrs/17 Cr No 378

No J1-158/26-27

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District.

Dated, Shimoga 27/29th November 1926

From

B. T. Kesavaiengar Esquire B.A.,
District Magistrate. Shimoga District

To.

B. Nagappa, Esquire - Bar-at-Law,
Secretary to Government. Local and
Legislative Department.
Bangalore

Through the Registrar of the Chief Court of
Mysore — Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your letter no P
1462-9 (1) Cts 46-26-2 dated 24th September
1926 calling for a report through the
Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore. Bangalore
in the matter of the suggestion of
the Comptroller for doing away
with the system of granting separate
permanent advances to the Honorary
Bench Courts as per G.O. No P 544-54
Cts. 217-25-13 dated 31st July 1926,
I have the honor to state that the

42

allotment sanctioned for the Bench Court at Shimoga is Rs 3/- per month and that sanctioned for ~~the~~ each of the other Taluk Bench Courts is Rs 2/- per month. Out of this sum they will have to purchase all the Country stationery articles - Service Postage labels etc. The present allotment does not admit of any further curtailment. None of the Taluk Bench Courts has its own establishment except the Bench Court at Shimoga. In case the procedure prescribed in the Government order referred to above is found pursued by the Comptroller - the only way to get over the difficulty would be to allow the Bench of Magistrates to draw the fixed grant on the Establishment Bills holding responsible the Chairman of

of the Bench Court for the time being
for the amount drawn during his
chairmanship.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient Servant

[redacted]
District Magistrate,
Shimoga District

h

APP. F. VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT.....

44

Section CB

File No. 46-26

Serial No. 60

No. 780

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

To

The Hon. Bangalore

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

Sir,

Date of issue 3/8/27

I am directed to invite reference to your letter No J-1-941/26-27 dt 4/12-4-1927 addressed to the Registrar Chief Court forwarding a statement showing the amount proposed for contract conveyance grant to the Several Bench Courts in the State and to enquire why no amount has been proposed for the Kankanhalli Bench Court. An early reply is requested.

Signed by.....

I have etc

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

over

In all future communications please quote—
No. J-1-941 of 26-27
Enc.

882-B27

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE DISTRICT.

Dated Bangalore, the 12th August *1927.*

Cb46.26-1

FROM G.B.Heblikar, Esq., B.A., LL.B.,
~~S. HIRIANNAIYA, Esq., M.A., B.L.,~~
~~*Deputy Commissioner*~~, District Magistrate.
Bangalore District.

To
B. Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-law.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Law Department, Bangalore.

SUBJECT:—

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.P.802/Cts. dated 3rd August 1927, I have the honor to state that a sum of Rs.20/- may be sanctioned for the Bench Court of Kankanhalli as contract contingent grant. This item was omitted by a mistake but the total of Rs.248/- includes this.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for District Magistrate,
Bangalore District.

Proceedings of the Govt. of H.H.
the Maharaja of Mysore.

M/C/46-26-12

Read:-

G.O.No.P.4267-76/Cts.46-26-5 dated 23-2-1927 directing that the system of fixed contract contingent grants to Bench Courts may be tried and that separate orders will issue fixing the grant for each court.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No.ROC.989/26-27 dated 15-7-27 from the Registrar, Chief Court, forwarding copies of letters received from the District Magistrates of Districts and stating that the rates proposed by the District Magistrates may be accepted in respect of the courts in their districts and suggesting that a lumpsum be allotted for all the Districts for incurring occasional expenditure such as purchase of books, clocks furniture, etc.

Order No. P 2171-80

Dated 31/10/1927.

Government are pleased to accept the recommendations of the Chief Court and fix the following scale of contract contingent grant for contingent expenditure for each Bench Court. Lumpsum allotments to the Districts as noted against each will also be placed at the disposal of the respective Dis-

District Magistrates for occasional expenditure such as purchase of books, clocks, furniture, etc.

Statement showing the contract contingent grant to Bench Courts and the lumpsum provision for books, furniture, etc.

District.	Places where Bench Courts have been established.	Contract Contingent grant for each Bench court per annum	Annual lumpsum provision
Tumkur	Tumkur	[illegible] Rs.24	
	Gubbi, Sira, Chiknaikanhalli, Turuvekere, Kunigal, Koratagere, Pavagada	@ Re.1 p.m. to each court — Rs.84	Rs.30/-
		Rs.108	
Chitaldrug	Chitaldrug, Davangere	@ Rs.2 p.m. to each court — Rs.48	Rs.30/-
	Holalkere, Hosdurga, Chellakere, Hiriyur, Jagalur, Harihar, Molkalmuru	@ Re.1 p.m. to each court. — Rs.84	
		Rs.132	
Mysore	Mysore	[illegible] Rs. 36	
	Chamarajnagar, Gundlupet, Nanjangud, Seringapatam	@ Rs.2 p.m. to each court — Rs.96	Rs.30/-
	Yedatore, Heggadadevankote, T.Narasipur, Malavalli, Krishnarajpet	@ Re.1 p.m. to each court — Rs. 60	
	Nagamangala	@ 1/8 p.m. Rs. 18	
		Rs.210	

Hassan

Hassan	Hassan	[illegible]	Rs. 36	
	Arsikere Chennarayapatna Arkalgud	@ Rs.2p.m. per court	Rs. 72	Rs.25/-
	Alur Belur	@ Re.1/8 p.m. per court	36	
			Rs.144	
Bangalore	Bangalore	[illegible]	Rs. 36	
	Anekal Hoskote Devanhalli Doddaballapur Magadi Nelamangala Closepet Chennapatna	@ Rs.2 p.m. per court	Rs.192	Rs.30/-
	Kankanhalli		Rs. 20	
			Rs.248	
Shimoga	Shimoga		Rs. 30	
	Chennagiri Shikarpur Sorab	@ Re.1/8 p.m per court	Rs. 54	
	Honnali Nagar Kumsi	@ Re.1 p.m per court	Rs. 36	nil
			Rs 120	
Kolar	Bowringpet Mulbagal Srinivaspur Malur Chintamani Sidlaghatta Goribidnur	@ Re.1/4 p.m.per court	Rs.105	Rs.30/-
	Bagepalli		Rs. 12	
	Gudibanda		Rs. 10	
			Rs.127	
Kadur	Chikmagalur	Rs.3 p.m.	Rs. 36	
	Kadur	Rs.2 p.m.	Rs. 24	
	Koppa Mudgere Narasimharajapura Sringeri Kalasa	@ Re.1 p.m per court	Rs. 60	Rs.60/-
			Rs.120	

Secretary to Government,
Law Department.

To

The Registrar, Chief Court under a covering letter
" Comptroller thro' Fl.Sectt.
" Dt.Magtes of Dts.

S. O.

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

G. O. No. P. 2171-80—Cts. 46-26-12, dated 31st October 1927.

Scale of contract contingent grant to Bench Courts.

Passes orders fixing the ——— for contingent expenditure.

READ—

Government Order No. P. 4267-76—Cts. 46-26-5, dated 23rd February 1927, directing that the system of fixed contract contingent grants to Bench Courts may be tried and that separate orders will issue fixing the grant for each Court.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No. ROC. 989—26-27, dated 15th July 1927, from the Registrar, Chief Court, forwarding copies of letters received from the District Magistrates of Districts and stating that the rates proposed by the District Magistrates may be accepted in respect of the Courts in their districts and suggesting that a lumpsum be allotted for all the Districts for incurring occasional expenditure such as purchase of books, clocks, furniture, etc.

ORDER No. P. 2171-80—CTS. 46-26-12, DATED 31ST OCTOBER 1927.

Government are pleased to accept the recommendations of the Chief Court and fix the following scale of contract contingent grant for contingent expenditure for each Bench Court. Lumpsum allotments to the Districts as noted against each will also be placed at the disposal of the respective District Magistrates for occasional expenditure such as purchase of books, clocks, furniture, etc.

Statement showing the contract contingent grant to Bench Courts and the lumpsum provision for books, furniture, etc.

District	Places where Bench Courts have been established	Contract contingent grant for each Bench Court per annum	Annual lumpsum provision
		Rs.	Rs.
Tumkur ..	Tumkur	 24	
	Gubbi	..	
	Sira	..	30
	Chiknayakanhalli	..	
	Turuvekere	At Re. 1 per mensem to each Court.	
	Kunigal	84	
	Koratagere	..	
	Pavagada	.. 108	
hitaldrug ..	Chitaldrug	At Rs. 2 per mensem to each Court.	
	Davangere	48	
	Holalkere	..	
	Hosdurga	..	
	Challakere	..	30
	Hiriyur	At Re. 1 per mensem to each Court.	
	Jagalur	84	
	Harihar	..	
	Molkalmuru	.. 132	

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

G. O. No. P. 2171-80—Cts. 46-26-12, dated 31st October 1927.

Scale of contract contingent grant to Bench Courts.

Passes orders fixing the ——— for contingent expenditure.

READ—

Government Order No. P. 4267-76—Cts. 46-26-5, dated 23rd February 1927, directing that the system of fixed contract contingent grants to Bench Courts may be tried and that separate orders will issue fixing the grant for each Court.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No. ROC. 989—26-27, dated 15th July 1927, from the Registrar, Chief Court, forwarding copies of letters received from the District Magistrates of Districts and stating that the rates proposed by the District Magistrates may be accepted in respect of the Courts in their districts and suggesting that a lumpsum be allotted for all the Districts for incurring occasional expenditure such as purchase of books, clocks, furniture, etc.

ORDER No. P. 2171-80—CTS. 46-26-12, DATED 31ST OCTOBER 1927.

Government are pleased to accept the recommendations of the Chief Court and fix the following scale of contract contingent grant for contingent expenditure for each Bench Court. Lumpsum allotments to the Districts as noted against each will also be placed at the disposal of the respective District Magistrates for occasional expenditure such as purchase of books, clocks, furniture, etc.

Statement showing the contract contingent grant to Bench Courts and the lumpsum provision for books, furniture, etc.

District	Places where Bench Courts have been established	Contract contingent grant for each Bench Court per annum	Annual lumpsum provision
		Rs.	Rs.
Tumkur ..	Tumkur	24	30
	Gubbi		
	Sira		
	Chiknayakanhalli		
	Turuvekere	At Re. 1 per mensem to each Court.	
	Kunigal	84	
	Koratagere		
	Pavagada	108	
Chitaldrug ..	Chitaldrug	At Rs. 2 per mensem to each Court.	
	Davangere	48	
	Holalkere		30
	Hosdurga		
	Challakere		
	Hiriyur	At Re. 1 per mensem to each Court.	
	Jagalur	84	
	Harihar		
	Molkalmuru	132	

No. P. 2171-80—Cts. 46-26-12, dated 31st October 1927.

Statement showing the contract contingent grant, etc.—*contd.*

District	Places where Bench Courts have been established	Contract contingent grant for each Bench Court per annum	Rs.	Annual lumpsum provision Rs.
Mysore ..	Mysore		36	
	Chamrajnagar			
	Gundlupet			
	Nanjangud	At Rs. 2 per mensem to each Court.	96	
	Seringapatam			30
	Yedatore			
	Heggaddevankote			
	T.-Narsipur	At Re. 1 per mensem to each Court.	60	
	Malvalli			
	Krishnarajpete			
	Nagamangala	At Rs. 1/8 per mensem	18	
			210	
Hassan ..	Hassan		36	
	Arsikere			
	Channarayapatna	At Rs. 2 per mensem per Court.	72	25
	Arkalgud			
	Alur	At Rs. 1/8 per mensem per Court.	36	
	Belur			
			144	
Bangalore ..	Bangalore		36	
	Anekal			
	Hoskote			
	Devanhalli			
	Dodballapur			30
	Magadi	At Rs. 2 per mensem per Court.	192	
	Nelamangala			
	Closepet			
	Channapatna			
	Kankanhalli		20	
			248	
Shimoga ..	Shimoga		30	
	Channagiri			
	Shikarpur	At Rs. 1/8 per mensem per Court.	54	Nil.
	Sorab			
	Honnali			
	Nagar	At Re. 1 per mensem per Court.	36	
	Kumsi			
			120	
Kolar ..	Bowringpet			
	Mulbagal			
	Srinivaspur			
	Malur	At Rs. 1/4 per mensem per Court.	105	30
	Chintamani			
	Sidlaghatta			
	Goribidnur			
	Bagepalli		12	
	Gudibanda		10	
			127	

No. P. 2171-80—Cts. 46-26-12, dated 31st October 1927.

Statement showing the contract contingent grant, etc.—*concld.*

District	Places where Bench Courts have been established	Contract contingent grant for each Bench Court per annum	Rs.	Annual lumpsum provision Rs.
Kádur ..	Chikmagalur	Rs. 3 per mensem.	36	60
	Kadur	Rs. 2 per mensem.	24	
	Koppa	At Re. 1 per mensem per Court.	60	
	Mudgere			
	Narasimharajapura			
	Sringeri			
	Kalasa		120	

Secretary to Government,
Law Department.

To—The Registrar, Chief Court.
The Comptroller to Government, through Financial Secretariat.
The District Magistrates of Districts.

Exd—P. S. R. N.

W D—1030—GPB—490—14-11-27